

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,320	12/30/2003	Fernando Gonzalez	03-5421	8011
39820 . 75	07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWARD M. LIVINGSTON, PA			ARK, DARREN W	
963 TRAIL TERRACE DRIVE NAPLES, FL 34103		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/748,320	GONZALEZ, FERNANDO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Darren W. Ark	3643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Ju</u>	<u>une 2005</u> .	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	The state of the s			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050626			

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050626

Application/Control Number: 10/748,320

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senieur 142,126 in view of Brazilian Pat. No. 2001-1586 to Cauvilla and Hochberger 5,533,295.

Senieur discloses a hollow cylindrically shaped holder (A); a base pole with a second pointed end (C); means for attaching the base pole first end (B) to a location between the holder first and second ends at an angle, but does not disclose means for attaching the base pole first end to the holder at a substantially perpendicular angle. Cauvilla discloses means for attaching the base pole to the location between the ends of the holder at a substantially perpendicular angle (hexagonal structure between ends of holder). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the means for attaching of Senieur such that it allows the base pole to be substantially perpendicular to the holder in view of Cauvilla in order to position the pole at the desired angle and elevation relative to the water surface or due to constraints presented in the usage environment. Hochberger discloses base poles (15, 17, 19) which are hollow and made of PVC tubing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/748,320

Art Unit: 3643

art to modify the base pole of Senier such that it is hollow in view of Hochberger in order to provide a base pole which is lighter in weight and also allows soil or sand to enter therein to make a more secure anchor and facilitate the base poles insertion into the ground. Senieur does not disclose the means for attaching the base pole to the holder at a substantially perpendicular angle.

In regard to claims 2, 3, and 8, Senieur, Cauvilla, and Hochberger disclose the use of PVC pipe (PVC tubing disclosed by Hochberger).

In regard to claim 5, Senieur, Cauvilla, and Hochberger disclose the means for attaching being glue (see Hochberger col. 3, lines 31-34).

In regard to claim 6, Senieur, Cauvilla, and Hochberger discloses a set screw (S of Senieur) used to tighten the connection between the means for attaching (B) and the base pole (C), but do not disclose the means for attaching being tape. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize tape to hold the parts together, since applicant has not disclosed that by doing so produces any unexpected results or is critical to the design, and it appears that the device of Senieur, Cauvilla, and Hochberger would perform equally as well by doing so and because tape can be easily removed and reapplied as necessary to allow disassembly of the device.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senieur 142,126 in view of Great Britain Pat. No. 2,260,249 to Clements et al. or Marten 2,371,142 and Hochberger 5,533,295.

Senieur does not disclose means for attaching the base pole first end to the holder at a substantially perpendicular angle. Clements and Marten disclose means for

Application/Control Number: 10/748,320 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

attaching the base pole (1 OR 1) to the holder at a substantially perpendicular angle (4 OR 3 can be attached to 1 at a perpendicular angle via 4). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the means for attaching of Senieur such that it allows the base pole to be substantially perpendicular to the holder in view of Clements et al. or Marten in order to position the pole at the desired angle and elevation relative to the water surface or due to constraints presented in the usage environment. Hochberger discloses base poles (15, 17, 19) which are hollow and made of PVC tubing. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the base pole of Senier such that it is hollow in view of Hochberger in order to provide a base pole which is lighter in weight and also allows soil or sand to enter therein to make a more secure anchor and facilitate the base poles insertion into the ground.

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darren W. Ark whose telephone number is (571) 272-6885. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darren W. Ark
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3643

DWA